

From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 1/18/2012 10:53:34 PM
To: Sgraves@TechLawInc.com
CC: Ann DiDonato/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Stephanie Wenning/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Re: Dimock - Data Entry Question

I'm confused why there would be monitor wells on the residents property. I am thinking maybe DW is the supplied water and GW is their well water.

I agree with Ann that we do need a field to indicate filtered (dissolved) vs. unfiltered (total) samples. How this is accomplished I will leave up to the data management team.

Richard Rupert
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S.EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514 8773 - MOBILE
rupert.richard@epa.gov

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
To: Stephanie Wenning/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Ann DiDonato/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US, Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/18/2012 07:29 PM
Subject: Dimock - Data Entry Question

Stephanie,

We are encountering data which on the COC indicate a DW or GW as the matrix. I assume the DW stands for Drinking Water and the GW stands for Ground Water (i.e. monitoring wells). Please see last page of the attached document. I know before you had noted that you had wanted us to only input drinking water data. Do you want the GW data inputted into the spreadsheets?

Also, we are encountering sample results for dissolved metals. If we don't note that the particular parameter result is a dissolved metals value, then that info will be lost. Currently there is no field to capture that info. We have been putting it in the comment field. Do we want to consider adding another field to capture that or do you want us to continue entering it into the comment field?

Thanks,

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.
2208 Warwood Ave
Wheeling, WV 26003
304-230-1230 (office)
304-830-1441 (cell)
304-232-5006 (fax)

[attachment "CABOT-EPA 000001 Brickhouse February 16 2010.pdf" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US]